

ABSTRACT GUIDELINES World Waterfowl Conference 2027, Nantes, France

Abstract Guidelines:

1. Abstracts are an opportunity for scientists and educators to present recent and previously unreported findings that contribute to the current body of knowledge. Data or observations should be original and not have been previously presented.
2. An abstract is a communication of restricted length that permits informed readers to evaluate significant contributions of a scientific study, method, or technique of teaching or extension.
3. Abstracts shall be accepted from the following categories:
 - a. Research, basic or applied
 - b. Extension or instruction methods
 - c. Field reports
 - d. Case studies
4. Abstracts shall be in English and comply with Congress submission requirements that are available on the Congress website.
5. Abstract co-authors shall be limited to those individuals who took an active part in the reported work.
6. Abstracts (excluding title, author name(s) and institution) shall be limited to no more than 2,750 characters including spaces. No photos, tables or graphs are allowed as part of the abstract. The abstract must not contain acronyms or abbreviations unless they are known and conventional
7. Abstracts shall contain the following sections, to be discussed in this order:
 - a. Descriptive title, with authors and institutions. **The title of the abstract must not contain a project name or acronym, nor the name of a commercial product**
 - b. Hypothesis or objective
 - c. Experimental design (or description of the problem for a non-statistical presentation)
 - d. Materials and methods
 - e. Statement of statistical analysis (e.g. one-way ANOVA) for research reports and field trials
 - f. Results, indicating statistical differences
 - g. Conclusions
 - h. Five keywords for indexing
8. Studies with proprietary products of an undisclosed formulation must reference the supplier and product name/description in a manner sufficient for others to acquire the product for testing purposes, as well as including a description of the product's intended purpose, anticipated mode of action, and/or its general biological properties.
9. Literature reviews and opinion/editorial pieces will not be accepted as abstracts.
10. All submissions and revisions must be completed by the published deadlines.

11. Presenting author or co-author of an accepted abstract is required to present the abstract at the scheduled time and must have pay registration fees. Failure to do so may restrict future submissions.

12. Names and institutions of authors will be available to the reviewer, but the reviewer shall remain anonymous and unknown to the authors. Correspondence with authors shall be conducted via the Section Chairs or, when appropriate, the Program Chair.

13. When preparing abstracts, authors should consider that the following questionnaire shall be used by reviewers to determine the acceptability of a submitted abstract:

- a. Does the reviewer have a conflict of interest with the author(s), the organization(s) and/or the abstract content? Yes or No (if 'Yes', the reviewer is ineligible to review this particular abstract)
- b. Is the writing sufficiently clear and well-organized to be understandable and of interest to poultry professionals? Yes or No
- c. Format: Does the abstract comply with the required format, including all sections previously noted? Yes or No
- d. Are the objectives/goals of the study clearly stated? Yes or No
- e. Is the design of the research or study adequate to reasonably ensure the validity of the reported work or observations, whether it be statistical or not? Yes or No
- f. Are relevant data presented, where applicable or referenced? Yes or No
- g. Are the conclusions justified by the data and statistical analysis? Yes or No